UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ARKADI NISENZON AND LILIA SHUKHATIAN

GEORGIY SHUKHATIAN : CIVIL ACTION

and

INTERNATIONAL ALTERNATIVE SERVICE

GROUP, LTD. : NO. 02-CV-4977

ARKADY SIROTOVSKY, NATALIA SIROTOVSKY : MYKHAILO FITYAK, LARISSA FITYAK, ADOLF :

GAVRILOV, AND LYUDMILA ANDRIANOVA

ALEXANDER FINANCE C.D., INC.

ANDREW CHAROFF, EUGENE URITSKY, GENE

BURD, ALEXANDER HOLDINGS, LL,

COMMERCE WAY, INC., MICHAEL KOGAN &

COMPANY, INC., PENN FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT GENE BURD'S MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDANTS' MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

It is unfortunate that in a good faith effort to comply with Gene Burd's Motion in the Alternative for a More Definite Statement and for further particulars of fraud, an exhibit that was prepared by Defendant Arkady Sirotovsky from his review of the documents, was called a "Bill of Particulars". This seems to be a problem for Mr. Burd who is responsible in the first instance for Federalizing this dispute among partners and continues to importune the court with a needless Motion. Defendants respectfully request that the particularized statement Mr. Burd requested in his Motion to Dismiss of August 9, 2004 and provided to him in Defendants' response of September 7, 2004 be deemed a "More Definite Statement" under Rule 12 (e) and deemed incorporated into Defendants' Counterclaim. To quote Justice Musmanno, "The attainment of true justice is over the highway of realities and not through the alley of technicalities". Potter Title & Trust Co. v. Latlavo Bros. 370 Pa. 374, 88 A.2d 91(1952) Mr. Burd requested more

U:\DOC S\pak\Simtovsky-Rico Defense\1 Def Opp to Counterclaim Burd's Motion 9-15-04.wpd

particulars and was given same, by whatever name it may be called.

Respectfully Submitted,

PAK 1116__

Paul A. Kettunen, Esq. MATTIONI LTD. 399 Market Street, 2nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19104